

Defendant.

ORDER

¹ Although the motion is styled as a motion to supplement, Plaintiff also stated in his motion that the contents he seeks to admit into the record “were before the Government in one form or another at relevant times,” ECF No. 71, which suggests that the motion he has filed is one to correct rather than supplement the administrative record. Plaintiff informed the Court that the government opposes the motion, yet counsel for the government did not respond to it. The Court therefore has no way of knowing the government’s position regarding whether the documents in the appendix to Plaintiff’s motion were before the Board for the Correction of Naval Records or not.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/ Elaine D. Kaplan
ELAINE D. KAPLAN
Chief Judge